wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	C	RDE	ER OF I	DETENTION	PENDING TR	IAL
	Teofilo Jimenez-Maldonado	Case Numb	er: _	11-60	15M		
present and v	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. was represented by counsel. I conclude the defendant pending trial in this case	e by a preponderance of the					
		FINDINGS OF FACT					
• •	eponderance of the evidence that:						
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.						
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.						
		ant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs rond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported					
	The defendant has no significant c	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.						
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.					
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	co.					
	The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	olicant but has no substan	ntial	ties in	Arizona or ir	the United St	ates and has
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordere	d.				
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact	by fl	leeing fr	om law enfoi	cement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximur	m of	у	ears im	prisonment.		
The (at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the ma the hearing in this matter, except as r	aterial findings of the Pretri noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAY		ervices /	Agency which	n were reviewe	d by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of cor	endant will flee.	sure	the app	earance of the	ne defendant a	s required.
a corrections appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custody facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General o ble, from persons awaiting ble opportunity for private o the Government, the pers	r his/ or se cons son ir conr	/her des erving se sultation n charge nection v	entences or b with defense of the corre	eing held in cust counsel. On octions facility sh	stody pending rder of a cour
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsiderations.	his detention order be filed	d with	h the Dis	strict Court, it prior to the h	is counsel's re nearing set befo	sponsibility to ore the Distric
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release iciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be co ore the District Court to a	onsid Ilow	dered, it Pretrial	is counsel's r Services an	esponsibility to opportunity to	notify Pretria interview and
DAT	ΓED this 26 th day of January,	2011.					
	•	Jan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan Dan D					

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge